COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0555-02 Bill No.: HB 652

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Health Care Professionals

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 31, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal changes assault of a law enforcement officer, corrections

officer, emergency personnel, highway worker in a construction zone or work zone, or probation and parole officer by adding health care workers.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0555-02 Bill No. HB 652 Page 2 of 5 March 31, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 0555-02 Bill No. HB 652 Page 3 of 5 March 31, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact the courts.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide competent, effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are faced with the enhanced penalties for assault of a health care worker - being defined as a 'law enforcement officer'.

Passage of bills increasing penalties on existing crimes, or creating new crimes, requires the State Public Defender System to further extend resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation is all its cases.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this bill proposes to change assault of a law enforcement officer (LEO), etc., by definition, by adding health care workers.

Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the expansion of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in direct offender cost either through incarceration (FY10 average of \$16.397 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$5,985 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY10 average of \$3.92 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,431 per offender).

L.R. No. 0555-02 Bill No. HB 652 Page 4 of 5 March 31, 2011

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Seventeen (17) persons would have to be incarcerated per each fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, it is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Corrections Incarceration / Probation costs for persons charged with assault of a health care worker	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 0555-02 Bill No. HB 652 Page 5 of 5 March 31, 2011

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill expands the crime of assault of a law enforcement officer, corrections officer, emergency personnel, highway worker in a construction or work zone, or probation and parole officer in the first, second, and third degrees to include a health care worker and repeals a duplicate provision currently in statute regarding this crime in the second degree.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 31, 2011